

Darrel Fehr

From: "Daniel J Fedderly P.E.;P.L.S." <hwype@wwt.net>
To: "Darrel Fehr" <cv1927@bloomer.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 9:31 AM
Attach: Bltn_009_Pavement_Markings.pdf
Subject: Centerline requirements

Darrel

See the attached from the
Transportation information Center
UW Madison

This discusses the Centerline requirements or lack thereof

You will note that with the traffic volumes we have on 135th (1200 ADT at most)

The centerline is not required but an "Engineering report" can recommend the placement
Of Centerline (our plans and review of the road with my recommendation would constitute
This report) I am recommending that we double yellow and edge line the entire roadway

None of the other Town roads in Cooks valley would meet the "requirements" for placement of a
centerline And given that your roadways are far below the required standard then the only other option
Is for a Engineering Report that recommends or does not recommend centerlines on other roads
The Town Board would need to order the report and based on the traffic and the specific road the Town
would likely fall far below the required placement of centerlines

As we discussed there is NO requirement that once you centerline one road you have to centerline all
roads especially in Cooks Valley with your roads falling far short of the "required standards"

As we discussed the next likely road for centerline would be 186th again largely based on the interaction
of significant truck traffic and the normal traffic and a Engineering report

Let me know if you need further documentation

I have confirmed all this with Rick Stadelman at the Wisconsin Towns Association
And I have a call into DOT as well

Thanks
Dan

Darrel Fehr

From: "Lee turonie" <wtowns5@frontiernet.net>
To: <cv1927@bloomer.net>
Sent: Tuesday, November 13, 2012 8:57 AM
Attach: Pavement Markings 05.pdf
Subject: Re: Fwd: centerlines on roads

Darrel,

See the attached info on pavement markings. You can choose to put them on even when not required. No, if you put them on just one road you are not somehow required to do that for all of them.

Lee Turonie
WTA Ass't Legal Counsel

----- Original Message -----

Subject:centerlines on roads
Date:Tue, 13 Nov 2012 08:22:13 -0600
From:Darrel Fehr <cv1927@bloomer.net>
To:wisconsin towns <wtowns@frontiernet.net>

Darrel Fehr
18441 Cty Hwy DD
Bloomer WI 54724
Good Morning

In the Town of Cooks Valley we do not presently put center lines on our roads. We now have a mining company rebuilding a road to a higher standard as truck traffic will be 8 -900 trucks per day the road is about finished and they would like to put lines on the road . Some time in the past previous boards looked into lining roads as we rebuilt them and were told if you line one road you need to line all roads in the township. Is this fact or can we just line this haul route because it is a safety issue with the heavy truck traffic?

Thanks

Darrel Fehr Cooks Valley Town Chairman

Public Comments submitted to Town of Cooks Valley:

After further study of the property value guarantee section of Preferred Sands' Permit, I feel there are some weaknesses that should be corrected in any future sand mine permits.

In Preferred Sands Permit - EXHIBIT B - PROPERTY VALUE GUARANTEE:

#2 Guarantee Term: I agree with Scott and Donna Johnson's public comment submitted to the Town of Cooks Valley on October 8, 2012, that the Guarantee Term should last the duration of the permit and not be limited to five (5) years.

#3 Fair Market Value: The Permit uses tax assessment records whereas the Preferred Sands Draft, July 10, 2012, states "Permit Holder and the owner may agree to retain one or more qualified appraisers to appraise the property."

I agree with the information Scott and Donna Johnson showed in their public comment submitted to the Town of Cooks October 8, 2012, therefore, I feel going the appraiser route is a better way to determine a fair market value.

The following sections are in Preferred Sands Draft, July 10, 2012, but not in the Permit. However, I feel they should be included in future permits.

Page 8, Property Value Protection, Section 7.1,

(c) owners of residential property located within one-quarter (1/4) mile of each side of the centerline of any approved Town road haul route(s) associated with Permit Holder's operation.

Exhibit D, Page 17, Section B, Sale of Property,

(4) If the property is not sold within one hundred eighty (180) days of the date of the listing contract, Permit Holder shall immediately purchase the property for the Fair market Value less the realtor's commission that would have been paid if sold under the listing contract.

Exhibit D, Page 18, Section C, Residential Moving Expenses,

(1) If an owner of property identified on Exhibit E sells the property and moves his/her primary residence to another location during the term of the Guarantee, Permit Holder shall reimburse the owner for up to ten thousand dollars (\$10,000) for reasonably moving expenses. Reimbursement of the owner for such moving expenses shall be made by Permit holder within thirty (30) days of the owner's submission of a written claim for reimbursement to Permit Holder, setting forth the full amount of moving expenses claimed by the owner, together with any supporting receipts or other written documentation.

Sheila David

November 12, 2012